# Surgery Section

# Survival in Patients with Post-myocardial Infarction Ventricular Septal Rupture: A Retrospective Observational Study

SHILPA SURESH<sup>1</sup>, SRUJAN SINGANAMALA<sup>2</sup>, RAGHAVENDRA MURTHY<sup>3</sup>, RAVIKALYANI NAGASHETTY<sup>4</sup>

(CC) BY-NC-ND

# ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Post-myocardial infarction ventricular septal rupture (post-MI-VSR) is a dreaded complication with high mortality. There is a varied survival pattern among patients who have undergone surgical repair.

**Aim:** To assess the survival rates in patients who underwent surgical repair for post-MI-VSR in a single centre.

**Materials and Methods:** A retrospective observational study was conducted in the Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery at Jayadeva Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences and Research, Bangalore, Karnataka, India. The patient records from January 2005 to December 2020, who underwent surgical repair of post-MI-VSR, were reviewed. The perioperative variables, mortality, and survival data were analysed. Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed to assess the survival time.

**Results:** The mean age of the studied patients was  $58.4\pm7.5$  years. A total of 73 patients underwent surgery for post-MI-VSR. A total of 40 (55.80%) were males and 33 (45.20%) were females. Overall, 36 (49.32%) patients had diabetes, and 27 (36.99%) had hypertension. Anterior Myocardial Infarction (MI) (n=56, 76.71%) was the most common location of MI. The mean Cardiopulmonary Bypass (CPB) and clamp times were 144.05±59.09 and 105.38±38.34 minutes, respectively. The mean survival time was 2619.564 days {95% Confidence Interval (CI) 2105.936 to 3133.192}.

**Conclusion:** As revascularisation confers a significant survival advantage, VSR repair with concomitant Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG) appears beneficial.

# INTRODUCTION

Ventricular Septal Rupture (VSR) is an uncommon but dreaded complication of Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI) [1]. Post-MI-VSR is a fatal complication that shows poor outcomes with medical treatment and is associated with high morbidity and mortality (42.9%) when surgical interventions are performed [2]. The incidence of VSR following ST-segment elevation MI has decreased from 1%-3% in the pre-reperfusion era to 0.17%-0.31% following primary percutaneous coronary intervention [3-8]. Optimal reperfusion of the infarct-affected artery prevents VSR by salvaging myocardial tissue and limiting infarct expansion. In contrast, late reperfusion is associated with an increased risk of complications [5]. The most common cause of VSR is full-thickness (transmural) MI in one of the following coronary arteries: Left anterior descending coronary artery (apical VSR), Dominant right coronary artery (basal VSR), and dominant left circumflex artery. Partial-thickness infarcts can also increase the risk of VSR [9]. VSR frequently occurs within the first week post-MI (3-5 days). The clinical symptoms of VSR range from a symptomless cardiac murmur to cardiogenic shock [10].

The outcome is poor and is seen in old age, female sex, unstable haemodynamics at presentation, and delay in surgery. Perioperative haemodynamic shock and incomplete revascularisation are strong predictors of poor survival [11]. The first open repair of a VSR was performed by Cooley DA et al., in 1957 [12]. Since then, techniques have improved, leading to better outcomes [13,14]. It is challenging to determine the exact border between infarcted and healthy myocardium, making suture placement difficult in such conditions. Therefore, considering this literature background, the present study was planned to assess the survival pattern of post-MI-VSR patients who underwent surgery in a single centre.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective observational study was conducted in the Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery at Sri Jayadeva Institute of Cardiovascular

Keywords: Cardiac, Complications, Surgery, Ventricle

Sciences and Research, Bangalore, Karnataka, India. The records of patients with Post-MI-VSR were retrospectively retrieved from the hospital database. The records were retrieved over a period of 15 years (from January 2005 to December 2020). Institutional Ethical Clearance (IEC) was obtained (ref: SJICR/EC/2020/026).

**Inclusion criteria:** All patients who underwent surgery for VSR were included in the study.

**Exclusion criteria:** Incomplete records and patients who were managed medically were excluded from the study.

### **Study Procedure**

The post-MI-VSR patients were admitted and evaluated by cardiologists, following the hospital protocol, and then referred for surgery. As the study site was a tertiary care set-up, the patients presented at different stages of the disease. Elective surgery was planned for haemodynamically stable patients, while emergency surgery was planned for haemodynamically unstable patients or those showing a trend of clinical deterioration (falling blood pressure, raising creatinine, decreasing oxygen saturation). In most cases, an Intra-aortic Balloon Pump (IABP) was inserted.

Patient demographics, including age, sex, diagnosis, and comorbidities such as diabetes, hypertension, and renal dysfunction, were reviewed. Timing of IABP insertion and preoperative evaluation details of coronary angiogram and echocardiogram findings were noted. All patients underwent surgery with conventional CPB established, and VSR repair was performed using an exclusion technique with a Gore-Tex patch and interrupted sutures. The left ventricular cavity was closed using interrupted sutures with the buttressing technique. Patients who had non-graftable target vessels, complete occlusion, and large infarct areas did not undergo concomitant CABG, while this was performed in the remaining cases based on indications. Some patients also underwent additional procedures such as mitral valve replacement and the Dors procedure [15]. Postoperative mortality was considered as the outcome variable, and CPB time, revascularisation of the culprit vessel, preoperative status, and surgical characteristics were studied as relevant variables.

# **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Data analysis was performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22.0. Descriptive analysis of categorical variables was done using frequency and percentage, while mean and Standard Deviation (SD) were used for continuous variables. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was conducted to evaluate cumulative survival and time to event for outcome variables. Mean survival was calculated for the study participants.

# RESULTS

A total of 73 patients were included in the study. The mean age of the studied patients was  $58.4\pm7.5$  years (range: 35 to 72 years), and 40 (55.80%) of them were males. The mean serum creatinine in the preoperative evaluation was  $1.97\pm0.94$  mg/dL, and 44 (60%) of them had renal dysfunction with serum creatinine levels >1.5 mg/dL. Overall, 65 (89.04%) of the patients underwent IABP insertion. Among the patients, 36 (49.32%) had one-vessel disease, 12 (16.44%) had two-vessel disease, and 17 (23.29%) had three-vessel disease. A total of 19 (26.03%) patients experienced postoperative complications, including renal failure (n=9), residual VSR (n=5), respiratory failure (n=3), and bleeding (n=2) [Table/Fig-1].

| Parameters                                      |                               | n (%)                             |  |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Demographics                                    | Age (mean±SD)                 | 58.4±7.5 (range 35 to 72)         |  |
| Conder  | Male                          | 40 (55.80)                        |  |
| Gender  | Female                        | 33 (45.20)                        |  |
|   | Diabetes mellitus             | 36 (49.32)                        |  |
| Co-morbidities                                  | Hypertension                  | 27 (36.99)                        |  |
|   | Renal dysfunction             | 44 (60.30)                        |  |
|   | Anterior wall MI              | 56 (76.71)                        |  |
| Myocardial Infarction<br>(MI)                   | Inferior wall MI              | 16 (21.92)                        |  |
| (((()))   | Posterior wall MI             | 1 (1.37)                          |  |
|   | 1 vessel disease              | 36 (49.32)                        |  |
| Number of vessels                               | 2 vessel disease              | 12 (16.44)                        |  |
| involved (n=65)+                                | 3 vessel disease              | 17 (23.29)                        |  |
|   | LV ejection fraction          | 39.5±6.80 (range 27 to 60)        |  |
|   | CABG plus VSR                 | 36 (49.32)                        |  |
| Surgical  | VSR                           | 27 (36.98)                        |  |
|   | VSR DOR                       | 5 (6.85)                          |  |
|   | VSR CABG DOR                  | 3 (4.11)                          |  |
|   | VSR CABG MVR                  | 1 (1.37)                          |  |
| Characteristics                                 | VSR MVR                       | 1 (1.37)                          |  |
|   | CPB time (minutes)            | 144.05±59.09<br>(range 64 to 385) |  |
|   | Clamp time (minutes)          | 105.38±38.34<br>(range 46 to 275) |  |
| Intra-aortic Balloon<br>Pump (IABP)<br>inserted | IABP                          | 65 (91.78)                        |  |
|   | Preoperative                  | 52 (71.23)                        |  |
| Insertion timing                                | Intraoperative                | 14 (19.18)                        |  |
|   | Postoperative                 | 1 (1.37)                          |  |
| Revascularisation of the culprit vessel         | No revascularisation possible | 36 (49.32)                        |  |
|   | LAD                           | 31 (42.47)                        |  |
|   | LAD (recancalised)            | 1 (1.37)                          |  |
|   | RCA                           | 5 (6.85)                          |  |

|   | 0           | 33 (45.21) |  |  |  |
|---|-------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Ne of such  | 1.0         | 21 (28.77) |  |  |  |
| No of grafts  | 2.0         | 16 (21.92) |  |  |  |
|   | 3.0         | 3 (4.11)   |  |  |  |
| Postoperative<br>complications  |             | 19 (26.03) |  |  |  |
| Alive or dead   | Dead        | 31 (42.47) |  |  |  |
|   | Alive       | 42 (57.53) |  |  |  |
| Survival time   | <5          | 44 (60.3)  |  |  |  |
| (in years)  | 5 and above | 29 (39.7)  |  |  |  |
| [Table/Fig-1]: Summary of baseline parameters (N=73).<br>CABG: Coronary artery bypass graft; VSR: Ventricular septal repair; DOR: DOR procedure;<br>MVR: Mitral valve repair; CPB: Cardiopulmonary bypass; LAD: Left anterior descending;<br>RCA: Right coronary artery; +- Only for patients who have undergone IABP |             |            |  |  |  |

The mean survival time was 2619.564 days (95% Cl 2105.936 to 3133.192) [Table/Fig-2,3].

The median survival time was 2190.000 days for patients who underwent CABG and VSR repair [Table/Fig-4,5].

| Mean survival   |         | 95% confidence interval |             |  |  |  |
|---|---------|-------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| time (in days)  |         |                         | Upper bound |  |  |  |
| 2619.564  | 262.055 | 2105.936                | 3133.192    |  |  |  |
| [Table/Fig-2]: Summary of overall survival time in the entire study population. |         |                         |             |  |  |  |



population.



| Std. Error   715.382 | Estimate 2190.000 | Std. Error                                 | Estimate   | Std. Error  |
|----------------------|-------------------|--|--|---|
|                      | 2190.000          | 132 861                                    | 1005 000   |   |
|                      |                   | 102.001                                    | 1095.000   | 412.099   |
| 345.816              | 2190.000          | 373.998                                    | 1460.000   | 587.647   |
| 1106.347             | 1095.000          | 365.000                                    | 730.000  | -   |
| -                    | 3650.000          | -  | 3650.000   | -   |
| -                    | 2555.000          | -  | 2555.000   | -   |
| 329.229              | 2190.000          | 117.615                                    | 1095.000   | 268.393   |
|                      |                   | - 3650.000   - 2555.000   329.229 2190.000 | - 3650.000 -   - 2555.000 -   329.229 2190.000 117.615 | - 3650.000 - 3650.000   - 2555.000 - 2555.000   329.229 2190.000 117.615 1095.000 |

The mean CPB time was 194.024 minutes (95% CI 162.936 to 225.112) [Table/Fig-6,7]. The univariate logistic regression analysis had shown statistically significant association with survival time (odds ratio;1.002 and p<0.001) [Table/Fig-8].

| Mean CPB   |            | 95% Confidence interval |             |  |  |  |
|--|------------|-------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Time (minutes)   | Std. Error | Lower bound             | Upper bound |  |  |  |
| 194.024  | 15.861     | 162.936                 | 225.112     |  |  |  |
| [Table/Fig-6]: Summary of overall CPB time in the entire study population. |            |                         |             |  |  |  |



|   | Unadjusted | 95    |       |         |  |  |  |
|---|------------|-------|-------|---------|--|--|--|
| Parameter   | odd ratio  | Lower | Upper | p-value |  |  |  |
| Survival time (in days)   | 1.002      | 1.001 | 1.003 | <0.001  |  |  |  |
| <b>[Table/Fig-8]:</b> Odds ratio of survival time in the study population (univariate logistic regression). |            |       |       |         |  |  |  |

# **DISCUSSION**

The VSR is a rare and life-threatening complication that can occur after AMI [2,8]. The incidence of AMI-VSR has decreased with the introduction of reperfusion procedures [15]. However, the mortality rate associated with VSR remains high [4]. VSR typically occurs within 2-8 days of MI, and if not diagnosed and surgically treated, patients may die from congestive cardiac failure [16]. Even with timely surgery, the in-hospital mortality rate remains high, and there has been no significant reduction in this rate over the years, making VSR the most lethal cardiac surgical condition [2,3,17]. However, due to the relatively low incidence of post-MI-VSR, there is limited available literature on the topic [2,18-20]. Clear guidelines on management and timing of surgical intervention are also lacking [3,17,21,22].

Haemodynamic instability in these patients can lead to cardiogenic shock, with involvement of the right ventricle and friable tissue around the infarct area, resulting in complex defects that can expand over time. Therefore, preoperative stabilisation of the patient is critical. Management of haemodynamically compromised patients involves decreasing left-to-right shunt with afterload reducing agents and insertion of an IABP. IABP increases coronary blood flow, reduces stress on the ventricular walls, and decreases oxygen demand [8]. In the present study, the majority of patients (91.78%) underwent IABP insertion. However, more evidence from prospective studies is needed to confirm the association between IABP insertion and patient survival.

The role of concomitant CABG in post-MI-VSR is debated. While CABG can address the underlying coronary artery disease and protect against long-term ischaemic risk, it also carries the risk of longer CPB and cross-clamp times [23]. Revascularisation of the culprit vessel can be technically challenging, especially if it is located within the infarcted segment and cannot be preserved within the repair zone. In such cases, CABG may be necessary, but it is associated with higher mortality in patients with multivessel CAD [23]. In the present study, revascularisation of the culprit vessel was possible in only 50.68% of the patients. The findings of the present study were compared with published literature in [Table/Fig-9] [2,3,16,24-27].

| Title  | Authors               | Place of study | Duration of study | Sample size | Results   | Conclusion and recommendation   |
|--|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|---|---|
| Current study  | Current study         | South India    | 2005-2020         | 73          | Mean survival time was 2619.564 days.<br>CABG with VSR offered survival<br>advantage  | VSR repair with concomitant<br>CABG in patient with post MI<br>VSR is recommended |
| Survival and risk factors<br>associated with surgical repair<br>of VSR after AMI: A single-<br>center experience [3] | Zhao K et al.,        | China          | 2012-2021         | 45          | Overall mortality rate was 20%. The<br>time CPB was longer in the death<br>group than in the survival group                   | Surgical repair resulted in good outcomes   |
| Treatment strategies for VSR<br>after MI: A single-centre<br>experience [2]  | Ma D et al.,          | China          | 2017-2021         | 23          | Overall in-hospital mortality rate was 21.7%. Surgical group had a lower mortality rate than the interventional closure group | Surgical operation is the most effective treatment                                |
| Outcome and profile of VSR<br>with cardiogenic shock after<br>MI: a report from the SHOCK<br>Trial Registry [24]     | Menon V et al.,       | USA            | 2000              | 939         | High in hospital mortality, 19% survival<br>in patients undergone CABG  | Even though prognosis is<br>poor surgery remains the best<br>therapeutic option   |
| VSR complicating AMI:<br>clinical characteristics and<br>contemporary outcome [25]                                   | Poulsen SH<br>et al., | Denmark        | 2008              | 64          | Five year mortality was 95%, survival at five-year was 32%  | Overall short- and long-term<br>mortality remains high in the<br>reperfusion era  |

| What is the best timing of<br>surgery in patients with post-<br>infarct VSR? [26]  | Papalexopoulou<br>N et al., | London, UK | 2013 | 3238 | Early surgery conferred survival<br>advantage over late surgical correction  | Based on the patients<br>haemodynamic status timing of<br>surgery to be decided                   |
|--|-----------------------------|------------|------|------|--|---|
| Surgical repair of postinfarction<br>VSR: systematic review and<br>meta-analysis [27]  | Matteucci M<br>et al.,      | Italy      | 2021 | 6361 | Operative mortality was 38.2%.<br>Pooled ORs showed increased odds<br>of operative mortality in patients with<br>preoperative or perioperative intraaortic<br>balloon pump insertion | Patients with right ventricular<br>dysfunction, intra-aortic pump<br>support had higher mortality |
| Surgical repair of post-<br>infarction ventricular<br>septal rupture: Determinants<br>of operative mortality and<br>survival outcome analysis [16] | Khan MY et al.,             | Pakistan   | 2018 | 31   | Operative mortality- 25.8%,<br>preoperative Ejection Fraction (EF) and<br>cardiogenic shock were risk factors  | The patients in cardiogenic<br>shock pre-operatively have a<br>high operative mortality           |

#### Limitation(s)

The present retrospective study conducted in a single centre provides insights into the post-MI-VSR condition and its survival. However, it is important to note that the current study has certain limitations due to its retrospective nature. One limitation is the potential presence of confounding variables, such as other systemic diseases or infections, which may have not been addressed or accounted for in the analysis. Additionally, other factors that could influence mortality, such as liver and kidney functions, may have been missed due to the extraction of only study-relevant variables from the hospital records.

To overcome these limitations and gain a more comprehensive understanding of post-MI-VSR, it is recommended to conduct prospective studies with a larger sample sizes and in multicentre settings. Such studies would allow for better control of confounding variables and provide more robust and Generalisable results.

## CONCLUSION(S)

In conclusion, the present analysis of post-MI-VSR repair using a single-centre database demonstrates that post-MI-VSR remains a devastating complication. Repairing post-MI-VSR is still associated with a high-risk, particularly in cases requiring emergency surgery, which has a higher mortality rate. The present study findings indicate that prolonged CPB time and postoperative complications are factors significantly associated with mortality. Patients in whom CABG was feasible showed a survival advantage. Given that revascularisation offers a survival benefit, the authors recommend VSR repair with concomitant CABG.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Dr. Sumitra Selvem, Senior Resident, Department of Biostatistics, St. John's Medical College for her contribution in the statistical analysis of the project; and Dr. Giridhar Kamalapurkar, Professor, Department of Cardiothoracis Surgery, Sri Jayadeva Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences and Research for performing surgeries in all the cases included in the study, guidance in the protocol and editing of the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Mahajan K, Negi PC, Merwaha R, Mahajan N, Chauhan V, Asotra S, et al. Gender differences in the management of acute coronary syndrome patients: One year results from HPIAR (HP-India ACS Registry). Int J Cardiol. 2017;248:01-06. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.07.028.
- [2] Ma D, Zhang Z, Zhang S, Wang Z, Zhang G, Wang C, et al. Treatment strategies for ventricular septal rupture after myocardial infarction: A single-center experience. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2022;9:843625.
- [3] Zhao K, Li B, Sun B, Tao D, Jiang H, Wang H. Survival and risk factors associated with surgical repair of ventricular septal rupture after acute myocardial infarction: A single-center experience. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2022;9:933103.
- [4] Tai S, Tang JJ, Tang L, Ni YQ, Guo Y, Hu XQ, et al. Management and outcome of ventricular septal rupture complicating acute myocardial infarction: What is new in the era of percutaneous intervention? Cardiology. 2019;141(4):226-32.
- [5] Vega JD, Salinas GL, Flórez JM, Solé AA, de Sá EL, Ruiz RS, et al. Temporal trends in postinfarction ventricular septal rupture: The CIVIAM Registry. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed). 2021;74(9):757-64.

- [6] Rashid H, Kumar K, Ullah A, Kamin M, Shafique M, Elahi A, et al. Delayed ventricular septal rupture repair on patient outcomes after myocardial infarction: A systematic review. Curr Probl Cardiol. 2023;48(3):101521.
- [7] Singh V, Rodriguez AP, Bhatt P, Alfonso CE, Sakhuja R, Palacios IF, et al. Ventricular septal defect complicating st-elevation myocardial infarctions: A call for action. Am J Med. 2017;130(7):863.e1-e12. Doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed. 2016.12.004.
- [8] Matteucci M, Ronco D, Corazzari C, Fina D, Jiritano F, Meani P, et al. Surgical repair of postinfarction ventricular septal rupture: Systematic review and metaanalysis. Ann Thorac Surg. 2021;112(1):326-37.
- [9] Mubarik A, Iqbal AM. Ventricular Septal Rupture. [Updated 2021 Sep 28]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2021. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK534857/.
- [10] Ronco D, Matteucci M, Kowalewski M, De Bonis M, Formica F, Jiritano F, et al. Surgical treatment of postinfarction ventricular septal rupture. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4(10):e2128309.
- [11] Duan MX, Zhao X, Li SL, Tao JZ, Yue ZZ, Zhao XY. Analysis of influencing factors for prognosis of patients with ventricular septal perforation: A single-center retrospective study. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2022;9:995275.
- [12] Cooley DA, Belmonte BA, Zeis LB, Schnur S. Surgical repair of ruptured interventricular septum following acute myocardial infarction. Surgery. 1957;41(6):930-37. Doi: 10.5555/uri:pii:0039606057901290.
- [13] Daggett WM, Guyton RA, Mundth ED, Buckley MJ, Terry McEnany M, Gold HK, et al. Surgery for postmyocardial infarct ventricular septal defect. Ann Surg. 1977;186(3):260-71. Doi: 10.1097/0000658-197709000-00004.
- [14] David TE, Armstrong S. Surgical repair of post infarction ventricular septal defect by infarct exclusion. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1998;10(2):105-10.
- [15] Di Donato M, Castelvecchio S, Menicanti L. Surgical treatment of ischemic heart failure the dor procedure. Circ J. 2009;73(Suppl A):A1-5.
- [16] Khan MY, Waqar T, Qaisrani PG, Khan AZ, Khan MS, Zaman H, et al. Surgical repair of post-infarction ventricular septal rupture: Determinants of operative mortality and survival outcome analysis. Pakistan J Med Sci. 2018;34(1):20-26. Doi: 10.12669/pjms.341.13906.
- [17] Elbadawi A, Elgendy IY, Mahmoud K, Barakat AF, Mentias A, Mohamed AH, et al. Temporal trends and outcomes of mechanical complications in patients with acute myocardial infarction. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;12(18):1825-36. Doi:10.1016/j.jcin.2019.04.039.
- [18] David TE, Dale L, Sun Z. Postinfarction ventricular septal rupture: Repair by endocardial patch with infarct exclusion. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1995;110(5):1315-22. Doi:10.1016/S0022-5223(95)70054-4.
- [19] Cinq-Mars A, Voisine P, Dagenais F, Charbonneau É, Jacques F, Kalavrouziotis D, et al. Risk factors of mortality after surgical correction of ventricular septal defect following myocardial infarction: Retrospective analysis and review of the literature. Int J Cardiol. 2016;206:27-36. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.12.011.
- [20] Malhotra A, Patel K, Sharma P, Wadhawa V, Madan T, Khandeparkar J, et al. Techniques, timing & prognosis of post infarct ventricular septal repair: A re-look at old dogmas. Braz J Cardiovasc Surg. 2017;32(3):147-55. Doi: 10.21470/1678-9741-2016-0032.
- [21] Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, Antunes MJ, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Bueno H, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with STsegment elevation of the European Socie. Eur Heart J. 2012;33(20):2569-19.
- [22] Anderson JL. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/ American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. Circulation. 2013;127(4):e362-e425. Doi: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e3182742cf6.
- [23] Barker TA, Ramnarine IR, Woo EB, Grayson AD, Au J, Fabri BM, et al. Repair of post-infarct ventricular septal defect with or without coronary artery bypass grafting in the northwest of England: A 5-year multi-institutional experience. Eur J Cardiothoracic Surg. 2003;24(6):940-46. Doi: 10.1016/S1010-7940(03)00465-2.
- [24] Menon V, Webb J, Hillis L, Sleeper LA, Abboud R, Dzavik V, et al. Outcome and profile of ventricular septal rupture with cardiogenic shock after myocardial infarction: A report from the SHOCK Trial Registry. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2000;36(3 Suppl A) 1110-16. https://Doi.org/10.1016/S0735-1097(00)00878-0.
- [25] Poulsen SH, Præstholm M, Munk K, Wierup P, Egeblad H, Nielsen-Kudsk JE. Ventricular septal rupture complicating acute myocardial infarction: Clinical characteristics and contemporary outcome. Ann Thorac Surg. 2008;85(5):1591-96. https://Doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2008.01.010.

- [26] Papalexopoulou N, Young CP, Attia RQ. What is the best timing of surgery in patients with post-infarct ventricular septal rupture? Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2013;6(2):193-96.
- [27] Matteucci M, Ronco D, Corazzari C, Fina D, Jiritano F, Meani P, et al. Surgical repair of postinfarction ventricular septal rupture: Systematic review and metaanalysis. Ann Thorac Surg. 2021;112(1):326-37.

#### PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Associate Professor, Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Sri Jayadeva Institute of Cardiothoracic Sciences and Research, Bangalore, Karnataka, India.
- 2. Senior Registrar, Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Sri Jayadeva Institute of Cardiothoracic Sciences and Research, Bangalore, Karnataka, India.
- 3. Associate Professor, Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Sri Jayadeva Institute of Cardiothoracic Sciences and Research, Bangalore, Karnataka, India.
- 4. Professor, Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Sri Jayadeva Institute of Cardiothoracic Sciences and Research, Bangalore, Karnataka, India.

#### NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

#### Dr. Shilpa Suresh,

2092, Prestige South Ridge, Hosakerehalli, Banashankari 3<sup>rd</sup> Stage, Bangalore-560085, Karnataka, India. E-mail: shilpa.suresh@gmail.com

#### AUTHOR DECLARATION:

- Financial or Other Competing Interests: None
- Was Ethics Committee Approval obtained for this study? Yes
- Was informed consent obtained from the subjects involved in the study? Yes
- For any images presented appropriate consent has been obtained from the subjects. Yes

# ETYMOLOGY: Author Origin EMENDATIONS: 6

- Plagiarism X-checker: Mar 07, 2023Manual Googling: May 26, 2023
- iThenticate Software: Jun 13, 2023 (14%)

PLAGIARISM CHECKING METHODS: [Jain H et al.]

Date of Submission: Feb 27, 2023 Date of Peer Review: May 18, 2023 Date of Acceptance: Jun 15, 2023 Date of Publishing: Sep 01, 2023